



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

BLUNT v. MERCANTILE RY. BUILDING & LOAN ASS'N et al.

June 12, 1913.

[78 S. E. 544.]

Building and Loan Associations (§ 42*)—**Insolvency—Nature of Indebtedness—Purchase of Stock or Loan.**—On a claim against the receiver of an insolvent building and loan association, evidence held to require a finding that deposits of \$300 and \$1,800, respectively, by the claimant were loans to the association, and not payments for stock, and hence that claimant was a creditor, and not a stockholder.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Building and Loan Associations, Cent. Dig. §§ 63, 66, 86-88; Dec. Dig. § 42.* 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 652.]

Appeal from Circuit Court of City of Alexandria.

Action by C. T. Blunt against the Mercantile Railway Building & Loan Association and others. Judgment for plaintiff for less than the relief demanded, and he appeals. Reversed.

Howard L. Smith and *S. G. Brent*, both of Alexandria, for appellant.

J. K. M. Norton and *Gardner L. Boothe*, both of Alexandria, for appellees.

MATHEWS v. HICKMAN.

June 12, 1913.

[78 S. E. 555.]

Easements (§ 61*)—**Right of Way—Obstruction—Injunction.**—Where the grantor agrees that the grantees shall have a road to the premises, and there is an existing road over land retained by the grantor, which is recognized by the parties as the road intended, the grantees may enjoin obstruction thereof by a subsequent purchaser of the grantor's land over which the road passes.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Easements, Cent. Dig. §§ 102, 130-144, 148; Dec. Dig. § 61.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 867; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 365.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Accomack County.

Suit by Tully J. Mathews against Samuel E. Hickman. From a decree in favor of defendant, complainant appeals. Reversed, and decree entered for complainant.

Stewart K. Powell, of Onanock, for appellant.

L. Floyd Nock and *Benj. T. Gunter*, both of Accomack, for appellee.

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.